

I am a U.S. citizen, living in Northern California, within broadcast range of KOVR out of West Sacramento.

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force stations like KVOR to air an anti-Kerry docu-drama days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

I strongly disagree that this program is being classified by Sinclair Broadcasting as a "news program". Shouldn't it be clear that this is being done to circumvent the policy to air "equal time" broadcasting?

It would be one thing if Sinclair paid for its own airwaves to transmit this program. But the public airwaves are just that - PUBLIC. They are obligated by law to serve the public interest.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.